

Common Core Standards Annotated Teaching Sample for Grades 6-8

Monk, Linda R. *Words We Live By: Your Annotated Guide to the Constitution*. New York: Hyperion, 2003.

Learning Objective: The goal of this one to two day exemplar is to give students the opportunity to observe the dynamic nature of the Constitution through the close reading and writing habits they've been practicing. By reading and re-reading the passage closely combined with classroom discussion about it, students will explore the questions Monk raises and perhaps even pursue additional avenues of inquiry. When combined with writing about the passage and teacher feedback, students will form a deeper appreciation not only of Monk's argument and the value of struggling with complex text, but of the Preamble of the Constitution itself.

Reading Task: *Students will silently read the passage, first independently, and then following along with the text as the teacher read aloud. The teacher will then lead students through a set of concise, text-dependent questions that compel students to reread specific paragraphs and discover the structure and meaning of Monk's argument.*

Vocabulary Task: *Most of the meanings of words in this selection can be discovered from careful reading of the context in which they appear. This practice is both called for by the standards and is vital. Teachers must be prepared to reinforce it constantly by modeling and holding students accountable for looking in the context for meaning as well.*

Discussion Task: *Students will discuss the passage in depth with their teacher and their classmates, performing activities that result in a close reading of the text. The goal is to foster student confidence when encountering complex text and to reinforce the skills they have acquired regarding how to built and extend their understanding of a text.*

Writing Task: *Students will paraphrase Thurgood Marshall's quote and then write an explanation of Monk's text in response to one of three prompts. Students might be afforded the opportunity to rewrite their explanation or revise their paraphrase after participating in classroom discussion, allowing them to refashion both their understanding of the text and their expression of that understanding.*

Text Selection: This selection, taken from Appendix B of the CCSS, while brief, allows for an in-depth investigation into three of the most highly charged words in the Constitution, and offers a capsule history of the dramatic and sweeping changes to how the phrase "We the People" has been interpreted over the years. Rich both in meaning and vocabulary, the excerpt from Monk's text not only validates the close reading approach but presents a focused and concise opportunity that students in both ELA and history classrooms will find engaging.

Outline of Lesson Plan: This lesson can be taught in one or two days of instruction and reflection on the part of students and their teachers, with the possibility of adding additional days of instruction (see Appendix A) or an additional day devoted to peer review and revision of the culminating writing assignment.

Standards Covered: The following CCS standards are the focus of this exemplar: RI.6-8.1-3,5, & 6; W.6-8.2, 4 & 9)

Demonstrate an understanding of the origins and purposes of government, law, and the American political system.

SS.7.C.1.5: Identify how the weaknesses of the Articles of Confederation led to the writing of the Constitution.

Depth of Knowledge: N/A | Date Adopted or Revised: 12/08

SS.7.C.1.6: Interpret the intentions of the Preamble of the Constitution.

SS.7.C.1.7: Describe how the Constitution limits the powers of government through separation of powers and checks and balances.

SS.7.C.1.8: Explain the viewpoints of the Federalists and the Anti-Federalists regarding the ratification of the Constitution and inclusion of a bill of rights.

Monk, Linda R. *Words We Live By: Your Annotated Guide to the Constitution*

From “*The Preamble: We the People*”

The first three words of the Constitution are the most important. They clearly state that the people—not the king, not the legislature, not the courts—are the true rulers in American government. This principle is known as popular sovereignty.

But who are “We the People”? This question troubled the nation for centuries. As Lucy Stone, one of America’s first advocates for women’s rights, asked in 1853, “‘We the People’? Which ‘We the People’? The women were not included.” Neither were white males who did not own property, American Indians, or African Americans—slave or free. Justice Thurgood Marshall, the first African American on the Supreme Court, described the limitation:

for a sense of the evolving nature of the constitution, we need look no further than the first three words of the document’s preamble: ‘we the people.’ when the founding fathers used this phrase in 1787, they did not have in mind the majority of America’s citizens . . . the men who gathered in Philadelphia in 1787 could not... have imagined, nor would they have accepted, that the document they were drafting would one day be construed by a Supreme Court to which had been appointed a woman and the descendant of an African slave.

Through the Amendment process, more and more Americans were eventually included in the Constitution’s definition of “We the People.” After the Civil War, the Thirteenth Amendment ended slavery, the Fourteenth Amendment gave African Americans citizenship, and the Fifteenth Amendment gave black men the vote. In 1920, the Nineteenth Amendment gave women the right to vote nationwide, and in 1971, the Twenty-sixth Amendment extended suffrage to eighteen-year-olds.

Text under Discussion		Directions for Teachers/Guiding Questions For Students
<p>The first three words of the Constitution are the most important. They clearly state that the people—not the king, not the <u>legislature</u>, not the courts—are the true rulers in American government. This principle is known as popular sovereignty.</p> <p>But who are “We the People”? This question troubled the nation for centuries. As Lucy Stone, one of America’s first advocates for women’s rights, asked in 1853, “‘We the People’? Which ‘We the People’? The women were not included.” Neither were white males who did not own property, American Indians, or African Americans—slave or free.</p>	<p><i>Elected body that creates laws</i></p>	<p>3. Guide discussion of the passage with a series of specific text-dependent questions and tasks.</p> <p>As students move through these questions, be sure to check for and reinforce their understanding of academic vocabulary in the corresponding text (which will be boldfaced the first time it appears in the text). At times the questions may focus on academic vocabulary.</p> <p>(Q1) What is (and isn’t) the meaning of “popular sovereignty”? Why does Monk claim that this is the form of government in America?</p> <p>These are fairly straightforward questions for students to answer but must be grasped to understanding the remainder of Monk’s analysis. The second question requires students to infer that the first three words of the Constitution refer to the doctrine of popular sovereignty, and perceptive students will be able to connect the title of the chapter and/or the opening of the second paragraph to the Constitution’s Preamble.</p> <p>(Q2) Is Lucy Stone confused when she asks “Which ‘We the People’?” Why does Monk say this question has “troubled the nation”?</p> <p>Students need to be able to discern that Stone is not confused but rather critical of the seemingly all-embracing phrase “We the People” when looked at in the light of the history of America. It is this history that Monk says is “troubled.” Then it is revealed that the “true rulers in American Government” did not include women, Native Americans, free blacks, enslaved African-Americans, or even white males who did not own property. Students should be able to deduce that those with the vote were primarily white men with property.</p> <p><i>N.B. Assuming this is a part of a unit on government/civics, students should be familiar with terms like Constitution, Supreme Court, and Preamble. Given their importance, teachers should still “check-in” with students and briefly review to help solidify students’ grasp of these concepts. If it is not, then this reading will serve as a solid introduction to these essential words.</i></p>

Text under Discussion	Directions for Teachers/Guiding Questions For Students
<p>Justice Thurgood Marshall, the first African American on the Supreme Court, described the limitation:</p> <p>for a sense of the evolving nature of the constitution, we need look no further than the first three words of the document’s preamble: ‘we the people.’ when the founding fathers used this phrase in 1787, they did not have in mind the majority of America’s citizens . . . the men who gathered in Philadelphia in 1787 could not... have imagined, nor would they have accepted, that the document they were drafting would one day be <u>construed</u> by a Supreme Court to which had been appointed a woman and the descendant of an African slave.</p>	<p>(Q3) What does the phrase “founding fathers” mean? Why does Marshall think the founding fathers could not have imagined a female or black Supreme Court Justice?</p> <p>This question is a good way to summarize the argument so far as answering it will drive students back to what was read and discussed earlier. The correct answer relies on making the connection between the lack of political rights granted to women and blacks by the founders—those that wrote the Constitution—and recognizing Marshall’s point that at the time he was writing both a female and the descendant of a slave were members of the Supreme Court—the judicial body that holds the final interpretation of the Constitution.</p> <p>Having discussed the meaning of Marshall’s quote, ask students to put his ideas into their own words in a brief two to three sentence paraphrase.</p> <p>Insisting that students paraphrase Marshall at this point serves the purpose of solidifying their understanding of Monk’s analysis as well as testing their ability to communicate that understanding fluently in writing. Teachers should circulate and perform “over the shoulder” conferences with students to check comprehension and offer commentary that could lead to on the spot revision of their “translation” of Marshall’s ideas.</p> <p>Sidebar: Images of the Supreme Court over the last century</p> <p>If students are particularly intrigued by the composition of the Supreme Court, Appendix B includes a series of images of the justices every forty years starting in 1890, vividly illustrating the demographic changes the court has undergone.</p>

interpreted

Time	Text of “ <i>The Preamble: We the People</i> ”		Directions for Teachers/Guiding Questions For Students
10 Minutes	<p>Through the <u>Amendment</u> process, more and more Americans were eventually included in the Constitution’s definition of “We the People.” After the Civil War, the Thirteenth Amendment ended slavery, the Fourteenth Amendment gave African Americans <u>citizenship</u>, and the Fifteenth Amendment gave black men the vote. In 1920, the Nineteenth Amendment gave women the right to vote nationwide, and in 1971, the Twenty-sixth Amendment extended suffrage to eighteen-year-olds.</p>	<p><i>formal change to a legal contract</i></p> <p><i>membership in a state or nation with rights, privileges, and duties</i></p>	<p>(Q4) What evidence is there in this paragraph regarding Marshall’s claim about the “evolving nature of the constitution”?</p> <p>This question requires students to methodically cite evidence to answer the question completely and grasp that the amendment process changed the meaning of who was included in “the people.”</p> <p>Sidebar: The Goals of the Constitution</p> <p>If students are intrigued, teachers can share with students the text of the Preamble and ask them to identify what the Founding Fathers were trying to accomplish in forming a Constitutional government through popular sovereignty:</p> <p>Text of the Preamble We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defence, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.</p>

Time	Explanatory Writing Assignment: Directions for Teachers and Students	
Homework	<p>For homework write a paragraph length explanation that answers one of the following prompts. Provide evidence from the text in your response to justify your analysis:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explain how the notion of who the “people” were has changed over time in America. • How does Thurgood Marshall’s presence on the Supreme Court illustrate the evolution of the constitution? • Analyze Monk’s explanation of the modifications that have been made to the Constitution. <p>During the next class period the paragraph could be used in a peer to peer critique and/or revised.</p>	

Explanatory Writing Assignment: Guidance for Teachers

Explanatory Writing Assignment: Guidance for Teachers

Teachers might wish to consider the following guidance with regards to evaluating the following prompts:

- Explain how the notion of who the “people” were has changed over time in America.

Teachers should look for a logical explanation of the evolution of who has been considered a “person” in the eyes of America over time. The paragraph could be organized chronologically, noting that at the nation’s founding the creators of the constitution would not “have in mind the majority of America’s citizens” and primarily saw persons as white males with property. Students should then observe that over time, however, the notion of “We the People” has grown to include African Americans (through the 13th, 14th, and 15th Amendment) as well as women with the Nineteenth Amendment. They might invoke Thurgood Marshall’s observation that these two groups, previously discriminated against, now have representatives on the Supreme Court—the final arbiter of the Constitution’s “We the People.” Students would end by noting the extension of the franchise to 18 year olds, and perhaps point out that the final status of one group mentioned early on remains unexplained—Native Americans.

- How does Thurgood Marshall’s presence on the Supreme Court illustrate the evolution of the constitution?

Teachers would look for student essays that address the question asked, i.e. focus on why the fact that Thurgood Marshall is on the Supreme Court reflects the notion of an evolving constitution. Students might start by explaining that Marshall was “the first African American on the Supreme Court” and note that at the founding of America “We the People” did not recognize the status of African Americans “slave or free.” They might go on to explain what is meant by an evolving constitution, citing the fact that “[t]hrough the amendment process” groups that were earlier not included under the framework of popular sovereignty were now added (in the case of African Americans, the 13th, 14th, and 15th amendments added to the constitution specifically addressed their status), paving the way for Marshall’s ascension to the court a century later. To round out their essay they might integrate into their essay Marshall’s ironic observation that “[t]he men who gathered in Philadelphia in 1787 could not... have imagined... that the document they were drafting would one day be construed by a Supreme Court to which had been appointed ... the descendant of an African slave.”

- Analyze Monk’s explanation of the modifications that have been made to the Constitution.

Students might begin their paragraph by observing that Monk begins her analysis noting the significance of the doctrine of popular sovereignty and how that opens up the question of who “the people” are. Teachers should look for students then to consider the various causal mechanisms for change to the Constitution, from the role of “advocates for women’s rights” like Lucy Stone to the importance of trailblazers like Thurgood Marshall, “the first African American on the Supreme Court.” But students should give special emphasis to “the amendment process” and how through it “more and more Americans were eventually included in the Constitution’s definition of ‘We the People.’” Students might round out their paragraphs by citing some of the changes to the constitution in the form of various amendments (e.g. “the Nineteenth Amendment gave women the right to vote nationwide”).

Appendix A: Additional Instructional Opportunities for *Monk's Words We Live By: Your Annotated Guide to the Constitution*

If teachers wish to add additional instructional time, they might want to consider having small groups of students of mixed abilities tackle one or more of these questions, or have ask individual students to pursue one of these lines of investigation.

1. Although Marshall is right in claiming that the Founding Fathers did not imagine an African-American or a woman serving on the court, they did envision possible changes to the Constitution and created an amendment process to accommodate such changes. Indeed, right after the Constitution was written, ten Amendments were passed, commonly referred to as the Bill of Rights. Students could look into the reasons why the Constitution was altered so soon after it was adopted or pick one of the Amendments in the Bill of Rights and research the history of that particular amendment or a particular legal case connected with that Amendment (e.g. Gideon v. Wainwright and the Sixth Amendment).
2. The idea of a changing definition of “people” in Monk’s text will intrigue middle school students. They could examine the different “types” of people at the nation’s founding (immigrants, Native Americans, indentured servants, slaves, etc) and how they have been viewed within a Constitutional framework. Specific historical events could be used to illuminate the treatment of groups not protected by the constitution, from the Cherokee Removal to the Chinese Exclusion Act to even the failure of the Equal Rights Amendment.
3. There are many times the Constitution is invoked on both sides of a debate about rights. To reinforce the concept that the U.S. Constitution is a living document, students could investigate an area of debate where the interpretation of an Amendment or amending the Constitution is central to the argument and then debate it in class. Some possibilities are gun control, balancing the federal budget, gay marriage, or even the legality of selling alcohol.
4. Students could select one of the amendments mentioned by Monk that expanded the conception of who the people were and research it more in depth, examining the historical background, the reasons for its adoption, and its effects both intended and otherwise.

